

Copyright notice and licence terms

Copyright (2024) Australian Institute of Health & Safety (AIHS), Tullamarine, Victoria, Australia

This work is copyrighted and has been published by the Australian Institute of Health & Safety (AIHS). Except as may be expressly provided by law and subject to the conditions prescribed in the Copyright Act 1968 (Commonwealth of Australia), or as expressly permitted below, no part of the work may in any form or by any means (electronic, mechanical, micro copying, digital scanning, photocopying, recording or otherwise) be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted without prior written permission of the AIHS.

You are free to reproduce the material for reasonable personal or in-house, non-commercial use for the purposes of workplace health and safety as long as you attribute the work using the citation guidelines below and do not charge fees directly or indirectly for the use of the material. You must not change any part of the work or remove any part of this copyright notice, licence terms and disclaimer below.

A further licence will be required and may be granted by the AIHS for use of the materials if you wish to:

- reproduce multiple copies of the work or any part of it
- charge others directly or indirectly for access to the materials
- include all or part of the materials in advertising of a product or services or in a product for sale
- modify the materials in any form, or
- publish the materials.

Enquiries regarding the licence or further use of the works are welcome and should be addressed to:

The Manager, OHS Body of Knowledge Australian Institute of Health & Safety, 3.02 /20 Bruce St, Kensington, Victoria, 3031

Manager@ohsbok.org.au

Disclaimer

This material is supplied on the terms and understanding that the Australian Institute of Health & Safety (AIHS) and its respective employees, officers and agents, the editor, or chapter authors and peer reviewers shall not be responsible or liable for any loss, damage, personal injury or death suffered by any person, howsoever caused and whether or not due to negligence, arising from the use of or reliance on any information, data or advice provided or referred to in this publication. Before relying on the material, users should carefully make their own assessment as to its accuracy, currency, completeness, and relevance for their purposes, and they should obtain any appropriate professional advice relevant to their particular circumstances.





Acknowledgements



The OHS Body of Knowledge (OHS BoK) provides a solid foundation for developing relevant and effective educational content and training programs by offering a continually updated comprehensive knowledge framework. It equips professionals and practitioners across various sectors with the necessary concepts and theories to address contemporary workplace health and safety challenges. By promoting the OHS BoK across its initiatives, the AIHS can better fulfil its commitment to fostering continuous learning and professional development within the safety community.

Thus, the *OHS Body of Knowledge* is strategically important to the AIHS and vital for the profession. (www.aihs.org.au).



The OHS BoK outlines a comprehensive framework for the education and ongoing professional development of OHS professionals. While it operates independently, the Australian OHS Education Accreditation Board plays a crucial supportive role. The board influences and monitors the quality of the OHS Body of Knowledge by participating in the development and review of its chapters.

This collaboration ensures the content is both reflective of the latest OHS research and incorporates advanced, forward-thinking practices. As a result, the OHS BoK fosters academic excellence and upholds a high standard for OHS education and professional development.

www.ohseducationaccreditation.org.au





Bibliography

ISBN 978-0-9808743-3-4

First published in 2012

Author

Pam Pryor

Peer reviewers

Professor Chris Winder BA(Hons), Risk and Safety Sciences Group, University of New South Wales

Dr Steve Cowley, Steve Cowley Health & Safety Consulting

Second Edition published in 2019

This chapter was re-published with updated references, consideration of psychosocial hazards and revised implications for practice.

Author

Pam Pryor, Manager OHS Body of Knowledge Development, Australian Institute of Health and Safety

Peer reviewer

Leo Ruschena, Chair, Policy Committee, Australian Institute of Health and Safety

Third Edition published in 2024

This chapter is re-published with updated references and comments to reflect the developing complexity of our understanding of the sometimes complex interaction of hazards in the causation of workplace injury and disease.

Author

Pam Pryor, Past Manager OHS Body of Knowledge Development, Australian Institute of Health, and Safety

The citation of the whole *OHS Body of Knowledge* should be as follows:

AIHS (Australian Institute of Health & Safety). (2024). *The Core Body of Knowledge for Generalist OHS Professionals*. 3rd Ed. Australian Institute of Health & Safety.

The citation of this chapter should be as follows:

Pryor, P. (2024). Hazard as a Concept. In *The Core Body of Knowledge for Generalist OHS Professionals*. 3rd Ed. Australian Institute of Health & Safety.





Hazard as a Concept

Pam Pryor AO, BSc, BEd, GDipOHM, MAppSci, ChOHSP, FAIHS

Past Manager OHS Body of Knowledge Development, Australian Institute of Health and Safety

Email: pampryor@bigpond.com

With a background in OHS consulting and OHS education, Pam specialised in activities related to OHS capability and related aspects of OHS professionality. She was chair of the Technical Panel that developed the OHS Body of Knowledge and the inaugural Registrar of the Australian OHS Education Accreditation Board. Her role as Manager OHS Body of Knowledge Development focused on the ongoing maintenance and development of the OHS Body of Knowledge and associated resources. Pam was a key player in the development of the INSHPO Global OHS Capability Framework and received the 2017-18 President's Award from the American Society of Safety Professionals (ASSP) for this work. Pam received an Officer of the Order of Australia in 2018 for her contribution to OHS through leadership and advisory roles, particularly in developing standards for education frameworks.





Core Body of Knowledge for the Generalist OHS Professional

Hazard as a Concept

Abstract

In occupational health and safety (OHS), the term 'hazard' is defined and used in many different ways. In introducing a series of hazard-specific chapters in the OHS Body of Knowledge, this chapter considers some of the issues associated with these various definitions and applications, including, for example, the common misidentification of failures of controls as hazards and equating hazard with risk. This chapter discusses a range of definitions and classification systems for hazards and proposes that while different definitions and classification systems may be useful depending on the context of the OHS activity; extended discussion on the topic is advocated. This discussion needs to acknowledge that multiple hazards may be present in many situations, and that workplaces are inherently complex systems. While different definitions and classifications of hazards may be tailored to different contexts and purposes, the chapter concludes that there is a need to reconceptualise our understanding of what constitutes a hazard to address the complexity of causation of some workplace injuries and conditions.

Keywords

hazard, hazardous, risk, energy, complex systems

Contextual reading

Readers should refer to 1 *Preliminaries* for a full list of chapters and authors and a synopsis of the OHS Body of Knowledge. Chapter 2, *Introduction* describes the background and development process while Chapter 3, *The OHS Professional: International and Australian Perspectives* provides a context by describing the role and professional environment.

Terminology

Depending on the jurisdiction and the organisation, Australian terminology refers to 'Occupational Health and Safety' (OHS), 'Occupational Safety and Health (OSH) or 'Work Health and Safety' (WHS). In line with international practice this publication uses OHS with the exception of specific reference to the Work Health and Safety (WHS) Act and related legislation.

Jurisdictional application

This chapter includes a reference to the Australian model work health and safety legislation. This is in line with the Australian national application of the *OHS Body of Knowledge*. Readers working in other legal jurisdictions should consider these references as examples and refer to the relevant legislation in their jurisdiction of operation.



Table of contents

1	Introduction	1
2	'Hazard' definitional issues	1
2.1	Scope of definitions	1
2.2	Confusion with 'failures in control'	2
2.3	Confusion with 'risk'	3
3	Hazard as a 'source of potentially damaging energy'	4
3.1	Limitations of the energy-damage conception of hazard	5
4	Classifications of hazards	7
4.1	A common classification	7
4.2	Energy-based hazard classification	8
4.3	Contextual classification of hazards	9
4.4	Psychosocial hazards	11
5	Implications for practice	12
6	Summary	13
Ref	erences	14

List of Tables

Table 1	Sources of energy as a basis for classification of hazards	8
Table 2	Classification of hazards taking account of context and conditions	ç



1 Introduction

In occupational health and safety (OHS), the term 'hazard' is defined and applied in many different ways. As the use of terminology is fundamental to common understanding, lack of clarity on the meaning of hazard can pose a significant barrier to the achievement of effective hazard management and risk control in the workplace.

This chapter addresses some of the issues associated with the term 'hazard' with the aim of setting the context for several hazard-specific chapters in the OHS Body of Knowledge. It discusses some classifications of hazards and considers conceptual implications for OHS practice and for how the OHS Body of Knowledge is structured. It is not the intention to advocate for adoption of any specific definition of hazard, but rather to stimulate informed discussion in the pursuit of a common understanding of hazards, their definitions and classifications to underpin their effective management.

2 'Hazard' definitional issues

The term 'hazard' is used in many contexts. In a community context, for example, references are made to meteors, earthquakes and floods as 'natural hazards' golfers refer to 'playing the hazard' and hazard is sometimes used as a verb (e.g. to 'hazard a guess'). A *Google* search for "definition of hazard not financial or insurance" conducted in 2024 resulted in more than 800 million hits; some of which present 'hazard' as synonymous with 'risk,' while others adopt the more common 'source of harm' usage.

2.1 Scope of definitions

It might be expected that narrowing the search to OHS sources would clarify the OHS-specific meaning of hazard, but this is not the case. An abundance of different OHS-specific definitions have been proposed. The International Labour Organization (ILO) defines a hazard as "The inherent potential to cause injury or damage to people's health" (ILO, 2010, p. 15). While this conception is open to encompassing all types of hazards, the resultant vagueness makes it difficult to apply. While similarly generic, the definition provided by the International Standards Organization (ISO) in the standard ISO45001 *Occupational health and safety management systems – Requirements with guidance for use* refers to a hazard as: "a source with



a potential for injury and ill health" (SA/SNZ, 2018; p. 4). This definition moves the concept of hazard from the vague "potential" to the only slightly less vague "source".

It is notable that the definitions in Part 1.1 of the Australian Model Work Health and Safety Regulations (WHSR) (SWA, 2023a) do not include a definition of 'hazard' although they do refer to "hazard class" and "hazard category" (as in the Globally Harmonised System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals) and specifically define a "hazardous chemical" and a "hazardous manual handling task". The Code of Practice *How to manage work health and safety risks* (SWA, 2018) defines hazard as "a situation or thing that has the potential to harm a person". (p. 26). Thus we now move to the source being a 'situation' or thing'.

Australia's *Type of Occurrence Classification System* (SWA, 2008) added another dimension to the hazard-definition discussion in that it bypassed the term entirely in favour of "agency of injury or disease," which it defined as "the object, substance or circumstance directly involved in inflicting the injury or disease;" examples include falls, heat, radiation, sound and pressure, and body stressing, which, conceptually are hazards.

2.2 Confusion with 'failures in control'

In 2012, an examination of definitions for 'hazard' revealed a number of citations where failures in control were given as examples of hazards. For example:

- Safe Work Australia
- ... Hazards at work may include: noisy machinery, a moving forklift, chemicals, electricity, working at heights, a repetitive job, bullying and violence, a <u>badly designed workplace and inadequate management systems</u> (for example, no procedures for performing tasks safely). (emphasis added) (SWA, 2010).
- The Canadian Centre for Occupational Health and Safety
 Listed a category of "safety" hazards that included "inappropriate machine guarding, equipment malfunctions or breakdowns" (emphasis added) (CCOHS, 2009)¹

¹ Since updated, see https://www.ccohs.ca/oshanswers/hsprograms/hazard/hazard_risk.html#section-2-hdr



-

These underlined examples are failures in control; they are <u>not</u> hazards but are part of the process that gives rise to the injury or damage rather than the hazard itself. Including such preconditions in the definition leads to millions of possibilities and so renders the term 'hazard' meaningless.²

It is pleasing that in 2024 many of the OHS authorities and others have refined their explanation of a hazard to exclude such erroneous examples. However, there is still lack of clarity in the varying definitions of hazard as evidenced in the following examples:

Canadian Centre for Occupational Health and Safety (CCOHS, 2020)

Workplace hazards can come from a wide range of sources. General examples include any substance, material, <u>process</u>, <u>practice</u>, etc. that has the ability to cause harm or adverse health effect to a person or property.

Examples given include: wet floor, welding, hard rock mining; and entanglement in rotating equipment. While each of these are associated with hazards, none of them are hazards in themselves.

A quote from online training for workers in the community services sector also demonstrates this confusion between hazards and failures in control:

"Some items are hazardous by nature, while others only become hazardous if used inappropriately or carelessly." (emphasis added) (QCOSS Community Door, n.d.),

The Western Australian Department of Mines, Industry Regulation and Safety includes in the examples of hazards "inappropriate behaviour that adversely affects worker's safety and health" (DMIRS, n.d.), a phrase which may be open to a range of interpretations.

2.3 Confusion with 'risk'

Another aspect of the terminology issue is that hazard is often confused with risk, and similar definitional problems apply to risk³ as to hazard. While the two concepts

³ See OHS BoK 31.1 Risk.



² While identifying and addressing failures in control is an important part of hazard management, focus on such failures can result in the actual hazard not being eliminated or effectively controlled.

are closely linked, there is an important difference – risk refers to *outcomes* (or consequences) whereas hazard relates to a *source* of risk. The two concepts are not interchangeable, and it can be problematic when confusion surrounds their use. Interestingly, while the now-superseded standard *AS/NZS 4360:2004 Risk Management* defined a hazard as "a source of potential harm" (SA/SNZ, 2004), the international standard *AS/NZS ISO 31000:2018* which replaced it elected to refer instead to "risk sources" defined as "elements which alone or in combination have the intrinsic potential to give rise to risk" (SA/SNZ, 2009, p. 4).

3 Hazard as a 'source of potentially damaging energy'

Turning to the OHS professional literature, one of the earliest attempts to define hazards in an OHS context is the concept of hazards as "sources of potentially damaging energy". The origin of the definition of hazards in terms of energy is usually attributed to Gibson, who published in 1961 the definition and concept being elaborated on by Haddon and Wigglesworth in 1973, with Viner (2015) defining a hazard as "a source of potentially damaging energy "(p. xxxiii) then addressing mechanisms of the energy damage model (EDM) in detail. The definition of a hazard as a source of potentially damaging energy is the basis for several models of accident causation (refer Viner, 2015).⁴

The concept of a hazard as a source of potentially damaging energy is useful for the OHS professional; it is relevant for understanding causation and proactively identifying hazards as a basis for taking action. However, the energy-damage model has its limitations. It is not particularly helpful for understanding the complexities of the damage process in situations where there is an appreciable human-factor component, where the effects of a hazard have a long latency period, where damage may be the result of more than one hazard or the interaction of several hazards,⁵ and in considering system complexity. These limitations are discussed below.

⁵ As in musculoskeletal disorders and psychological health.



⁴ See also OHS BoK 32 Models of Causation - Safety

3.1 Limitations of the energy-damage conception of hazard

3.1.1 Hazards where effects have a long latency period

There are occasions when damage or ill health is manifested, and investigators of OHS problems must retrospectively determine the hazard(s) that was the source(s) of the effect(s). During a long latency period (e.g. it is not uncommon for asbestos exposure to result in disease 40 years post-exposure), various work and personal circumstances can influence the outcome of the harm, making detection of the specific hazard(s) difficult. In such situations, simplistic definitions of hazards and the energy-damage definition are of limited value.

3.1.2 Multiple hazards

In cases where the type of risk (i.e. the possible injury or harm to health) stems largely or entirely from *one* type of hazard, the issues surrounding terminology might not be problematic. However, harm may result from the interaction of several hazards. The synergistic effect of psychosocial and biomechanical hazards is an example of such interactions (Macdonald & Oakman, 2022)⁶ as is ototoxic chemicals that, combined with noise, have a more detrimental effect on hearing than noise alone.⁷ In such cases, the 'damaging energies' concept may result in risks being controlled independently of each other and not addressing the causation's complexity (Macdonald, 2005).

3.1.3 Situations with a high human-factor component

Applying the concept of hazards as potentially damaging energy is not particularly helpful when the expression of damage is affected by the interaction of human factors such as age, gender, anthropometry, fitness and health status on the expression of biomechanical or manual-task-related hazards. Similarly, the expression of the impact of psychosocial hazards is complex.⁸

⁸ See section 4.4 for a discussion on psychosocial factors.



⁶ See *OHS BoK* 16 Work-related Musculoskeletal Disorders.

⁷ See *OHS BoK* 22.1 Occupational Noise.

3.1.4 Hazards arising from complexity

Recent research and discussions focus on OHS as part of complex systems.⁹ From such a perspective, the OHS professional must consider the functioning of the whole organisational system and comprehend how different elements and processes act together when exposed to a range of influences simultaneously rather than search for broken parts (Dekker, 2011, p. 127). Traditional OHS models are based on the premise that something or someone must break or malfunction for incidents to happen. However, many writers (Rasmussen, 1997; Dekker and others) have described a phenomenon of 'drift' where organisations fail because they normalise very small changes to parameters until the system as a whole drifts into an unsafe state. While constant adaptation is required for the success of complex systems, organisations are subject to constant pressures deriving from efficiency and cost imperatives, which can bring the system into a 'brittle' situation where the ability to adapt to a change in circumstances is reduced to a critical level.

Furthermore, in our efforts to manage safety by increasing reliability, we tend to add redundancy, which adds to the complexity of our systems. While this redundancy in safety-critical systems may be essential, it also adds to the system's complexity, which can intensify the outcomes of a system failure. This can happen without anything breaking, or without anybody actively erring or violating rules.

The interactions within and between complex systems are poorly understood as the growth of complexity in society, and organisations have outpaced our understanding of how they work and fail (Dekker, 2011, p. xiii). This is of particular importance as two of the most concerning conditions in Australian workplaces, body stressing and mental stress which combined represent 46% of workers' compensation claims (SWA 2023b), are complex and multifactorial.

⁹ See OHS BoK 12.1 Systems and Systems Thinking.



4 Classifications of hazards

Classifying things into categories is a way of imposing some order on and increasing our understanding of our environment (e.g., the classification of biological organisms imposes order on the biological world to increase understanding). While many OHS sources provide lists of example hazards, there have been some attempts to classify hazards based on a unifying concept. It is debatable, however, whether some of these classifications serve to increase understanding or add to the confusion surrounding hazards. Three hazard classification systems are outlined below.

4.1 A common classification

A commonly used classification includes the following five categories of hazard:

- Physical: body stressing, confined spaces, electricity, heat, heights, noise, vibration
- Chemical hazards include skin irritants, carcinogens, respiratory sensitisers and physicochemical hazards, including chemical explosions and fire, corrosion, ¹⁰ chemical reactions
- *Biological*: viruses, toxins from biological spores, spores, fungi, pathogenic micro-organisms, bio-active substances
- Ergonomic:¹¹ equipment layout and operation, lifting, pushing and pulling (manual handling), lighting, systems and computer programs, task, job and workplace design, workstation design and height
- Psychosocial: job demands, low job control, poor support, lack of role clarity, poor organisational change management, inadequate reward and recognition, poor organisational justice, traumatic events or materials, remote or isolated work, poor physical environment, violence or aggression, bullying, harassment including sexual harassment, conflict or poor workplace relationships.

¹¹ While the term 'ergonomic' is commonly used in these classifications it should be re-titled 'biomechanical' because "ergonomics" is not a category of hazard. It is defined by the International Ergonomics Association as "the scientific discipline concerned with the understanding of interactions among humans and other elements of a system, and the profession that applies theory, principles, data, and methods to design in order to optimize human well-being and overall system performance." https://iea.cc/about/what-is-ergonomics/



May 2024

Page 7 of 15

¹⁰ Explosions, fire and corrosion are examples of the outcomes of the action of hazards rather than the hazard itself.

With Comcare adding a sixth category:

 Seasonal hazards: bushfire hazards, working in heat, flood and storm hazards.

(See for example, Comcare, 2023)

Some sources have made variations to the categories in this common classification system; for example, the Canadian Centre for Occupational Health and Safety (2024) uses chemical, ergonomic, physical, and psychosocial categories but also adds health, safety, and workplace as separate categories.

4.2 Energy-based hazard classification

Energy-based classifications focus on established types of energy. Viner (2015) provided a detailed list with examples (Table 1).

Table 1: Sources of energy as basis for a classification of hazards (Viner, 2015)

Energy Type	Sub-type or Description
'Potential energies'	 Gravitational energy, including weight effects and waves in liquid-gas interfaces Structural strain energy Stored energy in compressed fluids
Kinetic energy	Energy stored in a body's mass due to its speed in linear or rotational motion
Mechanical power	 The rate of energy flow in machinery from the source of power to the point where the energy is absorbed in the action of the machine
Acoustic and mechanical vibrations	Noise (a pressure wave in fluids)
Vibrations	 Acoustic shock wave (a wave travelling faster than the speed of sound)
	Mechanical vibration in solids
Electrical energy	Electrical potential energy (volts)
	Electromagnetic fields
	Electrostatic charge
Nuclear particle radiation	Radiation of nuclear origin (e.g. X-rays)
Thermal energy	Solids, liquids, gases (including flames)
	Ambient (atmospheric conditions)
Chemical energy	 Molecular bonding energy released in oxidising actions (e.g. corrosion, fire, explosion)
	 Modification to the chemical processes of the body (e.g

Energy Type	Sub-type or Description
	acute toxic and non-respirable conditions)
Microbiological 'energy'	Viruses, bacteria, fungi
Muscle energy	Attacks (purposeful) or inadvertent strikingUse of muscles in work

While Viner included "muscle energy" in his list of sources of energy as a way of addressing hazards associated with manual tasks or biomechanical hazards, muscle energy' is only one factor in the complex causation of musculoskeletal disorders. Many OHS professionals and educators may perceive this as 'forcing' the energy-based categorisation to fit all circumstances. Also, the energy-based classification of hazards does not account for psychosocial hazards or factors impacting worker wellbeing. It is more useful, perhaps, to apply the energy classification in circumstances where it is appropriate while noting the limitations.

4.3 Contextual classification of hazards

Another set of categories was proposed by Macdonald (2005), who expressed concern about the limitations arising from definitions that imply that a hazard must have a finite physical presence. Macdonald proposed the differentiation of hazard categories according to different elements of the work system (Table 2).

Table 2: Classification of hazards taking account of context and conditions (Macdonald, 2005)

Category	Definition	Examples
Hazardous substance or object	A specific object that increases risk to health in its immediate spatial or temporal vicinity	A hazardous chemical or biological agent; An object on a path that could be tripped over; An unguarded machine blade; A vehicle moving at significant speed; A poorly designed hand tool.
Hazardous activity	A work task or activity that is inherently a potential source of risk, so that workers are exposed to one or	Biomechanical hazards e.g. heavy lifting, highly repetitive movements, prolonged static postures Psychosocial hazards e.g. work that is likely to cause psychological stress (link), due to factors such as:

¹² See *OHS BoK* 16 Musculoskeletal Disorders for an in-depth discussion of the causation of such disorders.





Category	Definition	Examples	
	more of the following:	extended periods of external pacing at a high rate with short cycle times; personal interactions with aggressive or abusive clients, etc	
Hazardous	conditions of workers that increase their personal vulnerability	Pre-existing injuries;	
condition		States of chronic fatigue or stress due to factors such as inadequate sleep, poor work-life balance;	
		Sub-standard competence in performing normal work tasks.	
Hazardous	,	Very cold environment;	
system		Inadequate staffing level;	
Condition		Absent or inadequate resources (e.g. lifting aids, information, equipment, emotional support);	
		Inadequate time to complete required work;	
		Piece-rated payment system;	
		Very long working hours;	
			Badly designed shift rotation system;
		Management system that results in workers having inadequate levels of: control or decision latitude, performance feedback, recognition/reward of effort and good performance.	
Hazardous personal state	A more transient personal state,	Due to physiological effects of the stress response, or overloading/overexertion of specific body tissues; or	
	typically chronic stress or fatigue, that results from one or more of the above factors and increases risk – directly to that individual	Indirectly due to performance degradation and a consequent increase in errors that increase injury risk	

Some of these categories conform to the common understanding of a hazard as a 'thing,' others, particularly those listed by Macdonald as psychosocial hazards and hazards relating to ongoing conditions of 'the system', are referred to as 'hazardous conditions. Macdonald's categorisation perhaps considers those definitions where hazards include "situations" (for example, DMIRS 2024). However, some OHS professionals would consider Macdonald's "hazardous personal condition", "hazardous system condition", and "hazardous personal state" categories to be risk factors (i.e. factors determining the level of risk) rather than hazards. Moreover, some examples provided for the hazardous personal and system conditions, such as substandard competence or lack of equipment, would be perceived by OHS professionals as failures in controls. Indeed, several of Macdonald's categories correlate with Reason's (1997) "latent failures" or "unsafe conditions." Consequently, it can be argued that this is an example of a classification system that goes beyond 'hazard.'





Another and perhaps more useful way of looking at hazards 'in a context' is provided by Susanne Tepe, who coined the phrase "a hazard becomes a risk within a context" (personal communication, July 9, 2019)¹³. A butane-fuelled cigarette lighter may be banned within a petrochemical complex, not because of the butane, but because it can cause a flame, as can a match. Thus, while the butane may be a chemical hazard, the lighter is useful when a flame is required but is a risk in a flammable environment when a flame can have disastrous consequences.¹⁴

4.4 Psychosocial hazards

Psychosocial hazards have increasingly been a topic of concern and attention since the first publication of the OHS Body of Knowledge in 2012, and this is reflected in the current Australian WHS legislation with the Model Work Health and Safety Act, 2016 (s 4) (SWA, 2023c) defining health as meaning physical and psychological health.

Discussion of psychosocial hazards has matured from a focus on 'workplace stress', bullying and violence with formal definitions tending to emphasise the dynamic interaction between the work environment and human factors. This dynamic nature is addressed in the definitions of psychosocial hazards issued by the ILO and Safe Work Australia.

The ILO defines psychosocial hazards as:

The interactions between and among work environment, job content, organizational conditions and workers' capacities, needs, culture, personal extra-job considerations that may, through perceptions and experience, influence health, work performance and job satisfaction" (ILO, 1986, p. 3).

The national guidance material published by Safe Work Australia on work-related psychological health and safety defines psychosocial hazards as:

- ... hazards that:
- arise from or in relation to:
 - o the design or management of work
 - o the working environment

¹⁴ Note, this could still be considered consistent with the energy definition of a hazard, Section 3.



_

¹³ Then Associate Professor, Occupational Health and Safety, RMIT University, Victoria, Australia.

- plant at the workplace, or
- o workplace interactions or behaviours; and
- may cause psychological and physical harm. (SWA, 2022, p. 5).

Examples cited by Safe Work Australia include: job demands; low job control; poor support; lack of role clarity, poor organisational change management; poor organisational justice, traumatic events or material, remote or isolated work, poor physical environment, violence and aggression, bullying, harassment including sexual harassment, conflict or poor workplace relations or interactions. (SWA, 2022, p. 5.)

The breadth and interactive nature of psychosocial hazards means that they are best discussed as a separate hazard category.¹⁵

5 Implications for practice

A shared language and shared understanding are an important component of professional practice. While there is improved clarity and consistency in defining a 'hazard', the current definitional variations across OHS authorities, nationally within Australia, internationally and within the community, detract from a consistent approach to hazard identification and management.

The author is of the opinion that broad, all-encompassing definitions of hazards such as "a situation or thing that has the potential to harm" (Safe Work Australia, 2018) are of limited use for the OHS professional engaging with workplace personnel in identifying hazards. The OHS professional may find it more useful to apply a definition of hazard that is appropriate to the situation at the time. For example, in developing a checklist for workplace inspections, it may be appropriate for a simple energy classification system to underpin the list of physical or chemical hazards to be inspected if that is the scope of the inspection. However, when speaking with senior management, it may be appropriate to use a more multifaceted approach that recognises aspects of latent conditions and psychosocial hazards, particularly if the main OHS problems are musculoskeletal or psychological health disorders. In incident investigation, using both these approaches and more to describe systemic failures may be useful. As our understanding of system complexity evolves, it may

 $^{^{15}}$ See OHS BoK 19 Psychosocial Hazards, 20 Fatigue, 21 Bullying and Violence.



_

be necessary to adapt our approaches to defining hazards further to acknowledge dangerous conditions that emerge from seemingly safe elements interfacing with other seemingly safe elements.

The generalist OHS professional should be familiar with the various definitions and classifications of hazards (which extend beyond those discussed in this chapter) and their evolution and conceptual underpinning. Also, they should be able to discuss the nature of hazards and rationalise their understanding of them to move to a more consistent, shared understanding of them. The primary objective in OHS practice is to get the basics right, and the basics are not likely to be in place when failures in controls are confused with hazards or with risk. Cross¹⁶ posited that the fundamental test of whether something is a hazard is that if it is eliminated, there is no risk; while this is a useful concept, it is limited where there is a complex interaction of hazards and effects.

6 Summary

To facilitate clarity of presentation, the hazard-specific chapters in the OHS Body of Knowledge consider individual hazards. However, OHS professionals and educators need to apply this knowledge in a way that recognises that multiple hazards may be present in many situations and that workplaces are inherently complex systems.

Knowledge evolves as people engage with it. OHS professionals, educators, researchers, policymakers, and regulators should all engage in discussions about hazards and the definitions and classifications of hazards to arrive at a shared understanding. This may include tailoring different definitions and classifications of hazards to different contexts and purposes and modifying these as our understanding of complex systems and systemic failure develops.

¹⁶ See OHS BoK 31.1 Risk.



_

References

- CCOHS (Canadian Centre for Occupational Health and Safety. (2009). Hazard and risk. [Electronic Version],
- CCOHS (Canadian Centre for Occupational Health and Safety). (2020). OHS Answers Fact Sheets: Hazard and risk. https://www.ccohs.ca/oshanswers/hsprograms/hazard_risk.html
- CCOHS (Canadian Centre for Occupational Health and Safety). (2024). Hazards. Government of Canada. https://www.ccohs.ca/topics/hazards/
- Comcare. (2023). Managing hazards. Australian Government. https://www.comcare.gov.au/safe-healthy-work/prevent-harm
- Dekker, S. (2011). *Drift into failure*; From hunting broken components to understanding complex systems. Surrey, England Ashgate Publishing Limited
- DMIRS (Department of Mines, Industry Regulation and Safety). (n.d.). What is a hazard and what is risk? Government of Western Australia. http://www.dmp.wa.gov.au/Safety/What-is-a-hazard-and-what-is-4721.aspx
- ILO (International Labour Office). (1986). *Psychosocial factors at work: Recognition and control*, Report of the Joint ILO/WHO Committee on Occupational Health, Ninth Session, Geneva, 18–24 September 1984, Occupational Safety and Health Series No. 56 (Geneva).
- ILO (International Labour Organisation). (2010). Building Safety, ILO Construction Training Package: Theme Summary 1 Fundamental principles Retrieved from https://www.ilo.org/sector/Resources/training-materials/WCMS_161801/lang--en/index.htm
- Macdonald, W. (2005). A hierarchy of risk control measures for prevention of workrelated musculoskeletal disorders Paper presented at the International Ergonomics Conference on Humanising Work and the Work Environment Guwahati, India.
- Macdonald, W & Oakman J. (2022). The problem with "ergonomics injuries": What can ergonomists do? *Applied Ergonomics*. 103. https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0003687022000977
- QCOSS Community Door eTraining. (n.d.) Types of hazards. Retrieved from https://etraining.communitydoor.org.au/mod/page/view.php?id=216
- Rasmussen J, 1997, Risk Management in a Dynamic Society: A Modelling Problem, *Safety Science*, 27(2), 183-213





- Reason, J. (1997). *Managing the risks of organisational accidents* Aldershot: Ashgate Publishing Limited.
- SA/SNZ (Standards Australia/Standards New Zealand). (2004). *AS4360: Risk management* Sydney/Wellington: Standards Australia/Standards New Zealand.
- SA/SNZ (Standards Australia/Standards New Zealand). (2009). AS/NZS ISO 31000: Risk management - Principles and guidelines. Australia/Standards New Zealand.
- SA/SNZ (Standards Australia/Standards/Standards New Zealand. (2018). ISO 45001: 2018 Occupational Health and Safety Management Systems Requirements with Guidance for Use. Standards Australia/Standards New Zealand
- SWA (Safe Work Australia). (2008). Type of Occurrence Classification System. 3rd. https://www.safeworkaustralia.gov.au/doc/type-occurrence-classification-system-toocs-3rd-edition-may-2008
- SWA (Safe Work Australia). (2010). Code of practice: How to manage work health and safety risks (draft). Safe Work Australia.
- SWA (Safe Work Australia). (2018). Code of Practice: How to manage work health and safety risks. Safe Work Australia. https://www.safeworkaustralia.gov.au/doc/model-code-practice-how-managework-health-and-safety-risks
- SWA (Safe Work Australia). (2022). Code of Practice: Managing psychosocial hazards at work. https://www.safeworkaustralia.gov.au/doc/model-code-practice-managing-psychosocial-hazards-work.
- SWA (Safe Work Australia). (2023a). Model Work Health and Safety Regulations (Revised as at 15/01/19). Canberra, ACT: Safe Work Australia. https://www.safeworkaustralia.gov.au/doc/model-work-health-and-safety-regulations
- SWA (Safe Work Australia). (2023b). Key work health and safety statistics Australia, 2022. https://data.safeworkaustralia.gov.au/sites/default/files/2023-09/Key%20Work%20Health%20and%20Safety%20Statistics%20Australia%2 02023.pdf/
- SWA (Safe Work Australia). (2023c). Model Work Health and Safety Act (31/3/16). Canberra, ACT: Safe Work Australia. https://www.safeworkaustralia.gov.au/doc/model-work-health-and-safety-act
- Viner, D. (2015). Occupational Risk Control: Predicting and Preventing the Unwanted. Gower. UK.

15 Hazard as a Concept



